Previous references.

No 9935-6 dt 12/12/02
P+A 739

File No. 125
of 1903-01

1904

(III-Police)

Later references.

P-38

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 6

PART B FOR May 1905

SUBJECT.

Writing off certain advances made to Son police constables of the Kadur District during H. E. the viceroy's visit.

FILE No. J. 125 of 1903-04.

Writing off certain advances made to some Police constables of the Kadur District, during H. E. the Viceroy's visit.

1 From the Inspector General of Police, No. 7508, dated 21st June 1904.
Requests Government sanction for writing off the sum of Rs. 16-12-0 being the advances made to certain Police constables of the Kadur District, on special duty at Bangalore during H. E. the Viceroy's visit in December 1900.

2 To the Inspector General of Police, No. J. 2795, dated the 29th June 1904.
Observes that the Superintendent of Police should have taken steps to recover the amount and that the persons at fault must make good the amount, and calls for a report on the action taken.

3 From the Inspector General of Police, No. 1923-219, dated the 7th October 1904.
Reports the circumstances under which the advances were left unrecovered, and recommends that Rs. 15 out of the amount of Rs. 16-12-0 be written off, the difference, namely, Rs. 1-12-0 having been since recovered from the officials of the District Police Office.

4 From the Inspector General of Police, No. J. 1048—Pel. 125-4, dated 27th October 1904.
Calls for additional information.

5 From the same, No. 5464-586, dated 27th March 1905.
Furnishes the required information, and requests the order of Government to write off the accounts the amount of Rs. 11-4-0 out of Rs. 15-0-0, the rest, Rs. 3-12-0 having been recovered since from the unclaimed pay and batta of constables.

6 To the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, and the Comptroller in Mysore, G. O. No. J. 3181-2, dated 10th May 1905.
Orders that the balance of Rs. 11-4-0 may be written off the accounts.

G. P. No. 9935-6—P. and A. 739, dated 12th December 1902.

G. R. S. 11] 1904—05

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Police)

NOTES.

FILE HEADING.

Writing off certain advances made to some Police Constables of the Kadur District during H. E. the Viceroys visit at Bangalore.

From

The Inspector Genl. of Police, in Mysore.

No. 7509 Dated 21.6.04 Received 22.6.04

Diary No. 1898	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies. Nil	Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests Govt sanction for writing off the sum of Rs 16-12-0 being the advance made to certain Police constables of the Kadur District ~~for~~ on special duty at Bangalore, during the Viceroys visit in December 1900.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Draft put up for approval.

(Sd) K. S. C.

23.6.04

To the Dewan thro' the 2nd Councillor.

2) Of the Superintendent was prompt in recovering the advances, the

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—25,000—18-8-04

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 125 of 1903—04.

Serial No.

the necessity for remitting the sum would not have Existed. The draft may be passed.

(Sd). C. M.
25-6-04.

3) Before submitting this to the Dewan Sahib, I consider it right to call for some information in the light of the above remark. So I have altered the draft. Issue fair.

(Sd) B.K.V.
25-6-04

2 4) To the Inspector General of Police, in ellpa No. J 2795 of the 29th June 1904.

Observes that the Supdt of Police shd have taken steps to recover the amount & that the persons at fault must make it good and calls for a report on the action taken

3 5). From the Insp. Genl of Police, in ellpa No. 1923/219 of the 7th Oct. 1904.

Reports the circumstances under which the advances were left unrecovered and recommends that Rs 15/- out of the

General and Revenue Secretariat.

J File No. 125 of 1903—04

Serial No.

the advance of Rs 16-12-0 be written off, the difference namely Rs 1-12-0 having been since recovered from the Officials of the District Police Office.

Subd with a draft sanctioning.

SP 15/10/04 Sec'y

15/10/04

The I. G's letter & its enclosures set out the case. I think that the Offrs of the day who failed to take timely steps should be made responsible for the amount in question. Kindly therefore draft an order in detail &

15-10-1904

MS/15/10/04

draft subd. In my own humble opinion

9/10-04 the matter does not require pursuing further, & the small amount involved may, after ~~the~~ this lapse of time, be allowed to be written off. Subd to Sec'y for reconsideration.

21/10/04

Both drafts are herewith

1 sheet.

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04.

nd Revenue
tariat.

File No. 1 v 5 of 190

Serial No.

Hd to the 2 Comm. Ths.

& the Dewan Saheb, I think that Govt. money should not be allowed & be taken away like this

21.10.1904

61

23-10-04

Govt. recommend to Govt. addressed the Resident & Comm. in Mysore regarding the recovery of ~~overpayments~~ advances made to the mamlatdars is not clear whether advances taken by the Govt Comm. on the Coorg Railway till after the men and others were discharged out of Govt. Instructions to recover no to whom Railway were forwarded, advances to the men in your

MGCPB—10,000—31-8-04

nerai and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 125 of 1905 - 06

Serial No.

Arrangements
to be made
for
[illegible]
with
before Magistrate
Executive Authorities
against the offenders
[illegible]
and of the
and of the Police
to them.

To Branch III
for compliance
25/10/06

Dept to Dept
Dept to Dept
Dept to Dept

To the Inspector General of Police in Mysore
Docket No. 3046 - Pr: 125 - 4 dated the 27th October
1906, Calling for additional information as directed
by the Commissioner -

No. 5464/586 dated 27.3.05 from the same
furnishes the required information
and requests the orders of Government
to write off the amount of Rs 11-4-0
out of Rs 15-00, the rest Rs 3-12-0 having
been recovered since from the undaimed

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

pay and batta of the Constables.

The Inspector General reports that the amounts shown to be advanced to the Constables had been incurred for feeding them while at Bangalore under the orders of Mr Rangasamiengar and that it was arranged that the above amount should be recouped from the Travelling allowance + batta due to them. Accordingly a Consolidated Travelling allowance Bill was prepared by the Supt of Police, Bangalore District & sent to the Inspector General of Police in Feby 1901. The latter however called for separate bills from each district & submitted them to Govt for the sanction of the enhanced rate of batta to those men as proposed by him. This was at first refused but was subsequently sanctioned in Govt Pro: No. 2995-6 - P+A 174 dated the 17th August 1904. The Supt of Police, Bangalore Dt received his bill duly audited by the Comptroller in September 1901, who he sent a requisition to the Dy Comr Kadur Dt, for the recovery of advances out against the Constables of his district, by which time some of the Constables

Serial No.

were either discharged or retired & [illegible] were dead. The amount could have been easily adjusted by withholding [illegible] from the batta due to these men. It is not explained why this was not done. From the explanation subd by Gopal Rao, then Head Quarter Inspector, Bangalore Dt it is observed that the Bangalore Dt Cannot be held responsible for the [illegible] irrecoverable amount. The delay in sanc-tioning the bills has nothing to do with the non-adjustment of the advances, as mar-ked by the I. G. of Police. The reason why the I.G. of Police countermanded his original order & called for separate bills from each District is not stated nor is it explained why ~~the~~ his office failed to ~~point out~~ give intimation of the advan-ces to the Dy Comr, Kadur Dt when the bill of his district was returned after being audited by the Comptroller. Subd for orders.

SR
3/4/05 Mr Carr states that he cannot fix the responsibility on any official at this distance of time, & that nothing will be gained by prolonging the enquiry. [illegible]

Note—Contn. sh. 17

2/4/05 To 2d Commissioner

2.4.1905

MGCPB—10,000—1-11-04.

3.4

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 125 of 190 -11

Serial No.

The irrecoverable balance of Rs 11-4-0 may be written off the accounts.

& Secretary
8/4/1905

7/4/05

Submitted with a draft order

Mr Mupra draft-accepted

8/4/05

Mr Mupra prepared to me delay. M

SW 11/4/05 We might have waited for orders. Sec 4 M 11/4

However the draft is submitted to the Secretary to Government as it has been slightly modified

&
11.4.1905

Rec'd 11.4.05.

M

There was no material delay of moment & I am intimating M. The Raichur Dy Commissioner's amount was to be recovered from some of the municipal revenue advances & pursuant-pursuant was sent instructing that some of the municipal arrears should be collected. This correspondence was between the Superintendent of Police Bangalore & the Dy Commissioner Raichur

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04

Serial No.

Which Officer was responsible for the delay and why it occurred at so many successive stages should be ascertained at least D.G. ought to examine this before any one else sees the many scathing comments on this matter. Then amend may be submitted.

12/4/05

By Record 10/5/05

5959/15.4.5. M/4-405

To the D.G. of Police, in response to Comptroller in Insurance No. 3181-2 dated 10.5.05.

Authorises the write off the accounts, the balance of Rs referred to in the above.

1903-04. 125 1/2 S.No-1

From,

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the Govt: of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
in the Genl: & Reve: Departments.

Dated.

Despatched. 21 January 1903

Received.

General No:
No: 7509/472

Enclosure No: one

Requesting the sanction of Go ment for the sumof Rs: 16-12-0 --- advanced by the Superintendent of Police, Bangalore, to certain Cons ables of the Kadur District on the ac~~c~~tion of ~~the~~ H. E. the Viceroy's visit to Bangalore in December 190 being written of the accounts as t amount is irrecoverable.

No: 1509
472 ourp

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.
Dated Bangalore, 17th June 1904.
21

From,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

L. Anantasami Rao Esquire, B. A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
in the General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that a sum of Rs: 16-12-0 was advanced by the Superintendent of Police Bangalore., to certain constables of the Kadur District to meet their feeding charges when they were detailed for Special duty at Bangalore during the visit of H. E. the Viceroy of India in December 1900.

In reply to an enquiry regarding the non-adjustment of the said advance of Rs: 16-12-0 the --- Deputy Commissioner of the Kadur District reports in his docket No: 523 dated 25th May 1904 (copy enclosed) that the amount is due as detailed in the margin from constables who are either dead or have left the service or have been struck

NO	Names of Constls:	Distt: NO	Amount	Remarks.
1	Parameshwarayya	342	2-0-0	Resigned
2	Munisawmy	20	2-0-0	Died
3	Lazar	219	2-0-0	Died.
4	Gowra	114	2-0-0	Discharged
5	Buden Beg	360	1-12-0	Discharged
6	Syed Tippu	305	1-12-0	Died
7	Mahomed Hussein	320	1-12-0	Resigned.
8	J. Knight	16	1-12-0	Discharged
9	Sheik Osman	12	1-12-0	Discharged

Off

ff the force, as mentioned against each constable's name. Hence the ums are irrecoverable.

In these circumstances I request that you will be so good s to move the Government to sanction the said amount being written ff the accounts.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

FROM M. Narain Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

To F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

Dated
Despatched
Received 25th May 1904.

General No. 523
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No: 6876/372 of the 14th instant regardi the non-adjustment of Rs: 16-12-0 , have the honor to state that a bill Rs: 49-4-0 being the feeding charges of the Police Officers of this Distt who went to Bangalore on special dut in connection with ~~the~~ His Excellenc the Viceroy's visit in December 190(was received from the Superintendent of Bangalore with his docket No: 20 dated 14th of October 1901, request the recovery of the amount from the persons concerned. The above refere was immediately replied to in this Office docket No: 117 dated 29th --- October 1901, stating that certain referred to in the bill were dead and some had left service and that advan from

Distt No	Name of Constable	Charge	Remarks.
342	Parameshwarayya	2-0-0	Resigned
20	Munisamy	2-0-0	Died.
219	Lazar	2-0-0	Died.
114	Gowra	2-0-0	Discharged
360	Budan Beg	1-12-0	Discharged
305	Syed Tippu	1-12-0	Died.
320	Mahomed Hussain	1-12-0	Resigned.
16	J. Knight	1-12-0	Discharged.
12	Sheik Usman	1-12-0	Discharged.

from those in service would be recovered and the same remitted in due course. Accordingly Rupees 18-12-0 and Rs: 9-12-0 were recovered and remitted in two instalments and the fact that a sum of Rs: 4/- was refused to be paid by two others on the ground that they had made their own arrangements for meals and and were not fed at Government cost, was also intimated in this Office No: 229 dated 13th of January 1902.

Thus Rs: 32-8-0 was accounted for and the balance of Rs: 16-12-0 standing in the name of the marginally noted men is irrecoverable for the reasons noted against each of them.

I have &c ,

/ Signed./ A.Vedantadesigamaiengar

For Deputy Commissioner

Kadur District.

"COPY"

Inspector General of Police.

115 P/04

S. No. 2

Letter No. 7509/472 dated the 21st June 1904, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, reporting that a sum of Rs 16-12-0 advanced to certain Police men of the Kadur District to meet their feeding charges when they were detailed for duty at Bangalore on the occasion of H. E. the Viceroy's visit in December 1900, has been found to be irrecoverable, as the Constables are either dead, or have left the service or have been struck off the Force and requesting therefore that sanction may be accorded to its being

Draft for approval
23.6.04
To draw on the 2d Cr
Read 26-6-04
Let the Inspector General of Police Mysore be informed that the amount mentioned in his letter may be drawn from the account of the Kadur District and that the same may be debited to Establishment Contingencies for the year 1904-05.
25/6
Before sanctioning this I consider it right to call for some information in the light of the above remarks. To have altered the draft
Yours faiy
25/6/1904

being written off the accounts.

Order thereon. No 2795 & 29/6/04

~~Sanctioned~~.

~~Steps should~~ The Supdt
who made the advance
of Police, should have taken
steps to recover the ~~advance~~ same
from ~~such of~~ the Constables
concerned ~~before they were~~ ~~have been discharged or have~~
~~received~~ before their discharge ~~or~~ resignation or death.
~~was made to or~~ The person or persons at fault
~~is accepted~~ should be made responsible
for the amount & called upon
to make it good. The I.G will report the result of the
action taken. 1/7/23 bm 25/6/1904
Offg Secretary

To The I.G. of Police in Mysore
" ~~Comptroller~~

SN
23/6/04

Sd.
28/6/04

III
Secret
7160
(Police)

1

1904-05.

125 Misc
S No 3

From,

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the Govt: of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
in the Genl: & Rev: Departments.

dated.

Despatched. 7th October 190

Received.

General No: 1923
No: 219

Enclosure No: 3

Forwarding Copies of letters of certain Deputy Commissioners and requesting to write off the amount of Rs: 15/- advanced to Constables of Kadur District. (Vide. Govt. Pro: No. 2795/3. dated 29th June 190

No: 1923/219 3

Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

Dated, Bangalore, 5th October 1904.

7

From,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

B. K. Venkatavardaiengar Esquire,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
in the General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Reference:-

Government Proceedings No: 2795/J dated 29th June 1904 directing the recovery of Rs16-12-0 advanced to certain constables of the Kadur District from the person or persons at fault;

------ooOoo-----

I have the honor to forward herewith copies of the marginally noted letters from the Deputy Commissioners of Kadur and Bangalore, in the matter.

No: 82 - From the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore
: 104 dated 8-9-1904 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur.

It would appear from letter No: 104 dated 8th September from the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur, that the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore made a requisition for the amount in question in the month of May 1901, and by that time some of the men had left service. The delay in the matter was therefore on the part of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, who in his letter No: 82 without date explains that he expected that the

consolidated

consolidated batta bill submitted by him would be sanctioned, but as there was delay, he requested the Deputy Commissioner of Kadur to recover and remit the amount due by the constables.

A sum of Rs1-12-0 has since been recovered by the Deputy Commissioner of Kadur from the Officials of the District Police Office, as will be seen from his letter quoted above.

Under these circumstances, I would request that you will be so good as to move Government to write off the amount of Rs15/- shown in the accompanying statement.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J. C. Clarke

Inspector General of Police.

Copied. R.L.

Compd. cl

7

Deputy Commissioner's Office.

Police Department.

)ated, Bangalore, ... 1904.

om,

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,

Inspector General of Police

in Mysore.

r,

Reference:-

Your letter No: P. C. 81/9 dated 5th July 1904 forwarding r information copy of Government Proceedings No: 2795/J dated th June 1904 regarding the irrecoverable balance of advance ount of Kadur District &c.

With reference to the above, I have the honor to point out at the advances in question were made to the Police of the dur District by Mr. L. Krishna Rao on the occasion of the -- ceregal visit to Bangalore in December 1900, but Mr. L.Krishna o having been relieved by Mr. Haudin on 16th December 1900, e latter had a consolidated bill made up for the Police Offi- rs and men called for special duty, and submitted to the -- spector General of Police in February 1901 with a view to has- n adjustment of advances by deduction from batta bills. As the ll remained undisposed of till May 1901 and as it was consi- red undesirable to allow the advances outstanding against other stricts to remain unadjusted any longer, the District officers ncerned including that of Kadur were addressed in May 1901 de Nos: 677, 678, and 679 to recover the advances from the rties concerned and to remit the same to this office for -- adjustment.

adjustment. Again a reminder was sent in October 1901. A sum of ₹28-8-0 was recovered and remitted by the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District, vide his No: 229 and 17 dated 11th January 1901 and 25th July 1903 respectively.

The batta bill subsequently sanctioned with spe rates of batta and returned to Kadur District, not having passed through Bangalore District, it was not possible for the Bangalore District Police Superintendent to make the necessary deductions on account of outstanding advances before the batta was disposed. I request therefore instructions as to whether Messrs: L. Krishna Rao or Haudin or the Superintendent of Kadur District should make good the amount.

I have &c.

(Signed.) Y. V. Krishna Murty.

For Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

"C O P Y"

Inspector General of Police.

From

M. Narain Rao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

Dated 8th. September 1904.
Despatched 9th.
Received
General No. 104
No.

Enclosure No. Two.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 811/28 dated 11th August 1904 regarding the adjustment of certain advances made to the Police-men of this District in December 1900, by the Deputy Commissioner of the Bang-lore District, I have the honor to state that on reference to the "Fro Register" of the year 1900-1901, I find that the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore, in his docket No. 678 dated 7th May 1901, requested that the advance of Rs 33-3-3 made to --- Jamadar Mahomed Hussain and other Police-men ofthis District on 9th. December 1900 might be recovered. This request was complied with by the transmission of a Public Servic

Transfe

Tramnsfer Receipt for that amount with this Office docket No. 78 dated 15th September 1901, copy of which is herewith forwarded for perusal. The next reference on the subject from the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore was No. 207 dated 14th October 1901 in which no allusion was made to any previous reference. This reference contained a request for the recovery of Rs 49-4-0 from the men named in the bill therewith received. A reply was sent to the Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore on the 29th October 1901 (docket No.117) intimating that it was not possible to recover the advances amounting to Rs 15/- made to eight men, as they had died, resigned or been struck off before the receipt of docket No. 207 dated 14th October 1901. It will thus be seen that no blame can be attached to this District in regard to the non-recovery of the advances --- made to these eight men.

2. A statement shewing the dates on which each of the 8 constables above referred to left the service is herewith forwarded as called for. The ninth name on the list , is that of Sheik Usman who was discharged long after the receipt of the requisition of October 1901. As my Police Office is unable to produce references to show why the sum of Rs 1-12-0 due by this man was not recovered in time, I have directed that the amount should be recovered from the officials and enclose a Public Service Transfer Receipt for the same.

I have etc.,

Signed. M. Narain Rao.

Deputy Commissioner.

Kadur District.

" C O P Y "

for Inspector General of Police.

Statement Showing the Names of Constables who left the Service &c.

Name.	Rank.	Date of discharge from Service.	Amount of advance.
Parameswariah	1st class Constable No 312	20. 4. 1901	2 . .
Munisawmy	2nd do do No 20	24. 7. 1901	2 . .
Lazar	1st do do No 219	26. 9. 1901	2 . .
Gowra	2nd do do No 114	11. 6. 1901	2 . .
Buden Beg	2nd do do No 360	18. 4. 1901	1 12 .
Syed Tippu	2nd do do No 305	16. 6. 1901	1 12 .
Mohamed Khasim	2nd do do No 320	28. 6. 1901	1 12 .
J. W. Knight	2nd do do No 16	18. 2. 1901	1 12 .
		Total.	15 . .

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in
Coorg, Kolar, Bangalore, 4th October 1904.

Inspector General of Police
CR

G.R.S. 26]

Police 14

File No. 125-03-0

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 775

No. 9/1048
Prisons (125 of 03-04)
-4

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Prisons)
, *the* 27/10/ 1904

To

The Inspector General of Police in
Mysore

Date of issue de

Signed by Mr B M Narsinga Rao

His letter No. 1923/219 dated the 5th October 1904 in re the non-recovery of ~~certain sums of~~ money advanced to certain Police Constables of the Kadur detailed for duty at Bangalore on the occasion of H. E. the Viceroy's visit in December 1902.

Adverting to the above, I have the honour to inform that the Inspector General seems to have addressed the Kadur Deputy Commissioner in May 1901 regarding the recovery of the advances made to the men. It

over.

SUBJECT.

15

s not clear what action was taken
by the Deputy Commissioner on this
reference. The Batta bill of these men
and there was not disposed of until
May. Information is required as
to when batta was passed and
disbursed to the men in question.
2 out of 8 men only 3 seem
to have severed their connection with
Government service before May. The
advances against the dues could
have been easily adjusted out
of the batta amount due to them
The D.C. is requested to ascertain
& report why this was not done.

List of enclosures.

Copied by V.R.K. 27-10

Examined by V.R. 27-10

III Zumla 28/3/05 1901-02 A2022 9 125

From,

The Inspector General of Police

In Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the Govt. of

H. I. and Maharaja's Mysore,

Genl: & Rev: Departments.

Dated.

Despatched. 27th march 1905

Received.

General No: 5464

No: 586

Enclosure No: 1

Furnishing explanation in re the non-recovery of certain amount from [illegible] & requesting [illegible] the irrecover[illegible]

No: 5464-91/96

Office of the
Inspector General of Police in Mysore
Dated, Bangalore, 27th March 1905.

From,

F. E. C. Carr Esquire,
Inspector General of Police
in Mysore.

To,

B. K. Venkataramaniah Garu Esquire,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Reference:-

Government Proceedings No: [illegible]/J dated 1st June 1904, calling for a full report regarding the official or officials responsible for the non-recovery of the advance of ₹15/- still due by the constables of the Kadur District, who were deputed to Bangalore on special duty in 1900, and subsequent reminders on the subject;

----------oOOo----------

I have the honor to state that the advance shown against the constables referred to in my letter No: 1923/219, dated 7th October 1904, had been incurred in feeding charges, when the men were in Bangalore on special duty for the Viceroy's visit in the month of December 1900. As per orders of Mr. Ranga-samiengar, the then Inspector General of Police, a consolidated batta bill was submitted by the Superintendent of Police, Bangalore-District, in February 1901. As it was subsequently found

necessary

necessary to submit separate bills for each District, separate bills were obtained from the Districts concerned, and submitted to Government on the 9th May 1901 with this office letter No: 4021/439, for a rate of batta of 4 annas per man per diem being specially sanctioned. The Government in their docket No: 1704 P&A 1580 dated 21st June 1901 first objected to sanction the rate of halting allowance, but subsequently in their Proceedings No: 2995-6/ P&A 174 dated 17th August 1901 sanctioned the same and transmitted the bills to the Comptroller for audit. The Superintendent of Police, Bangalore, received his bill with objections from the Comptroller in the month of September 1901, — when a requisition for the amounts due from some of the men of the Kadur District, was sent to the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur District. It would appear from the report of the Deputy Commissioner that some of the men who had to pay the amounts were not in service at the time, and it was therefore not possible to recover the amounts.

The delay of 6 months in writing to the Deputy Commissioner of Kadur for the amounts due, is the principal cause of this difficulty having arisen. It is explained by Gopal Rao who was then the Head Quarter Inspector of Bangalore, (copy of explanation enclosed), that no immediate intimation was sent to the Kadur District as it had been ordered by the Inspector General that the amounts could be recovered from the batta bill which was submitted soon after.

But owing to a special rate of daily allowance being asked for, it was necessary to go up to Government, and this unavoidably gave rise to a certain amount of delay, for which no one can be held responsible.

The Superintendent of Police, Bangalore District, Mr. Hamlin, who incurred the expenditure, should have given immediate intimation to the Kadur District regarding the recoveries to be made from the men concerned; but it is explained that at the instance of Mr. Rangaswamiengar this was not done. Under these circumstances, I cannot fix the responsibility on any official at this distance of time. As nothing will be gained by prolong-

48

prolonging the enquiry, and as the amount which is irrecoverable is only ₹11-4-0 (₹6-12-0 having since been recovered from the unclaimed pay and saved due to the constables of the Kaira District), I request that you will be so good as to move Government to order this amount of ₹11-4-0 being written off the accounts.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J C Clam

Inspector General of Police.

By

I [illegible] the report that the [illegible] arrangements were organised by the then Superintendent of Police Mr. L.Krishna Rao under the orders of the then Inspector General of Police, Mr. Ramachandra Iyer.

The arrangement in force was that the entire staff of Police officers and men detailed for special duty in connection with the visit of His Excellency the Viceroy would be fed in Bangalore by contractors at a uniform rate of 4 annas per head per day, the [illegible] for the Officers and men of all the Districts would be made by the Bangalore District Superintendent and the cost of feeding will be defrayed from the [illegible]. [illegible] soon after the special duty was over, a consolidated bill for the [illegible] of all the Police officers and men of the Districts that were fed in Bangalore City was submitted by the Office of the Inspector General of Police, Madras [illegible] for recoupment, to the [illegible] Controller of Finances. As the instructions were that the Superintendent of Police of the Districts should not be called upon to pay the amount for the special charges, the [illegible] contractors should be paid out of the amount that would be sanctioned, no reference was made to the Districts for the recovery of the feeding charges. Certain sum advances had been made to the different Districts. For instance Rs.33.3.3 had been advanced to the Kadur District Police Inspector for Railway fare (and for feeding charges) and he above was asked for and received from the Kadur District. This is the reference referred to in the Kadur Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 104 dated 6th September 1904 (para 1) to the Inspector General of Police.

Nothing was known about the consolidated bill from the Bangalore District in February 1901 to the Inspector General of Police

committed in the Chief Office.

It will be seen that under any circumstances the Bangalore District Police Office is not at all to blame in the matter. This office has only carried out orders. I questioned Mr. L. Krishna Rao who happens to be in Bangalore or leave and he also admitted having given out orders as stated by me and said he would say so in writing. He has just at present gone out of Bangalore for a few days and as soon as he returns I can get a written statement from him of these facts. The Bangalore District Police Office has not failed in the least either to carry out orders or to do them in any manner express or implied or to do any thing which the usual office routine requires. Under these circumstances it is not possible to see how the District Police Office is responsible for the amount of Rs.15/- . The facts speak clearly as to who is responsible for it.

As the circumstances herein mentioned do not appear to have been clearly represented, I beg the Superintendent of Police will be pleased to send up a report for the information of the Deputy Commissioner and the Inspector General of Police.

--.--.1930.

/ Sd./ V. Gopal Rao.
City Inspector.

"COPY"

Inspector General of Police.

G.R.S. 28]

Police

File No. 125 [illegible]

Serial No. 6.

Diary No. of letter replied to ———

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Police

, *the* 10/5/ 1906

Date of issue 10/6/06

Signed by Mr K. S. Chandra Sekhara Aiyar

READ.—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 5464/586 dated the 27th March 1905, from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore, submitting a report regarding the non-recovery of

~~ORDER THEREON.—No~~

a sum of Rs 11-4-0 advanced to certain Police Constables of the Kadur District ~~on the~~ detailed for duty at Bangalore on the occasion of His Excellency the Viceroy's visit in December 1900.

over. Order

SUBJECT

MGCPB—5000—21-6-04

Order thereon. No: J 3171-2 8/10/1901
Police 125-2

It is reported that the ~~advanced~~ amount shown ~~to be~~ as advanced to the Constables ~~had been~~ was expended incurred for in feeding them while at Banga-lore under the orders of the then Inspector General, Mr. Rangasa--samiengar and that it was arranged that ~~the above amount~~ it should be recouped from the travelling allowance and batta due to them. Accordingly a Consolidated travelling allowance bill was ~~prepared~~ sent by the Superintend-ent of the Bangalore District ~~and sent~~ to the Inspector General of Police in February 1901. The latter however called for Separate bills from each district and sub-mitted them to Govt with ~~for~~ a recommendation for the grant of an enhanced ~~batta~~ rate of batta to these men as a Special

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

case. This was at first refused but was subsequently sanctioned in Govt Pro:. No. 2995-6/P.dt 174 dated the 17th August 1904. ~~The Supdt of Police, having received the bill audited by the Employing Officer~~ sent a requisition to the Dy Comr of Kadru District for the recovery of advances noted against the Constables of his district, but by which time some of the Constables had either been discharged or retired & some had died. The Dy Comr, Kadru Dt, therefore reported that a sum of Rs 11-4-0 was irrecoverable

~~to be included in the bill of the~~ ~~men concerned. Thereupon~~ ~~Supdt of the Bangalore Dt~~

2. The amount if entered in the first instance have been adjusted by withholding it from the pay of the Constables ~~in bills~~ ~~It is explained that this was not done, as it is however too late and as~~ ~~no longer time to the Inspector General says that he cannot for the responsibility for the omission~~ ~~for any one, at this distance of time, and that nothing can be gained by~~

of the men concerned. It was the duty of the Dy Comr that advanced the sum & have recovered it then & there. His omission to do so makes him liable for its payment. The Govt ~~of Kadru direct that the Supdt of Police~~

~~prolonging the enquiry. If they~~
~~Govt are pleased to direct~~
~~that the Rs 11-4-0 which is~~
~~reported to be irrecoverable, be~~
~~written off the accounts.~~

11/1905

Secretary -

by the D.G. of Police in Mysore
in Comptroller

SN
24/4/05

Concerned be
called upon to make
good the loss

4
11.4.1905

however accept
the recommendation
of the D.P. Govt
and sanction
the ~~sanction~~ writing
off of the balance
of Rs
stated due by 11-4-0

6419
(Mint)
5.5.05

Govt